

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 2:13 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Colin - You make my point. Because the CDC and UNAIDS have **never used real numbers**, Curran can be as *prescient* as he wants to be. That's the magic of alchemy, astrology, HIV and global warming. When you rely EXCLUSIVELY on computer models and **ESTIMATES**, you can make up **ANYTHING**. In the case of HIV, [The Coolfont Estimate](#) establishes exactly how and where those **ESTIMATES** originated.

The only hard numbers exist in papers like those I linked in [Comment #35913](#) .

As for the merits of your defense of these spurious estimates, a 1988 *AIDS & Public Policy Journal* report suggested that [drug addicts](#) should be enlisted to educate the public about HIV and AIDS. Four years later, another APPJ report modified the idea, suggesting that [homosexual drug addicts](#) would make better teachers. This could explain why the dogmatic defense of HIV and AIDS has been relegated to gay activists like [Jack Knight and the truthers](#) .

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:56 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Prof. Geshekter: Last year, OMSJ began to [actively seek](#) *HIV experts* like Cornell Professor John Moore Ph.D., Harvard Prof. Daniel Kuritzkes MD, Robert Gallo MD, U. Conn Prof. Seth Kalichman Ph.D., Richard Jefferys, Magic Johnson, Bono, Elton John and the hundreds of other **hard working scientists** who could testify as HIV expert prosecution witnesses in HIV-related criminal cases.

Any idea how many calls we received?

That's right - NONE. Now, wouldn't you think that a \$340 billion, 30-year-old **PANDEMIC** that poses an **EXISTENTIAL THREAT** to **HUMANITY** would yield **ONE EXPERT** from the NIH, CDC or major university.
NONE.

They testified a lot before we got involved in in 2009.

These are serious cases. After all, if HIV is as deadly as they say it is, it would be a scientist's civic duty to protect those who might otherwise be unlawfully exposed to this deadly disease. Sarcasm aside, the fact that thousands of hard-working scientists recoil like vampires in church when called to testify under oath and under penalty of perjury speaks volumes. We'd hire them ourselves, but our examination of their credentials suggests that none have the training or expertise to testify as defense witnesses. They're VERY good at expressing BELIEFS in sloppy journals, but its quite another thing to ask them to tell the truth in a real court. This Nature blog is a much safer place for them.

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:55 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Prof. Geshekter: Last year, OMSJ began to [actively seek](#) HIV experts like Cornell Professor John Moore Ph.D., Harvard Prof. Daniel Kuritzkes MD, Robert Gallo MD, U. Conn Prof. Seth Kalichman Ph.D., Richard Jefferys, Magic Johnson, Bono, Elton John and the hundreds of other **hard working scientists** who could testify as HIV expert prosecution witnesses in HIV-related criminal cases.

Any idea how many calls we received?

That's right - NONE. Now, wouldn't you think that a \$340 billion, 30-year-old **PANDEMIC** that poses an **EXISTENTIAL THREAT** to **HUMANITY** would yield **ONE EXPERT** from the NIH, CDC or major university. NONE.

They testified a lot before we got involved in in 2009.

These are serious cases. After all, if HIV is as deadly as they say it is, it would be a scientist's civic duty to protect those who might otherwise be unlawfully exposed to this deadly disease. Sarcasm aside, the fact that thousands of hard-working scientists recoil like vampires in church when called to testify under oath and under penalty of perjury speaks volumes. We'd hire them ourselves, but our examination of their credentials suggests that none have the training or expertise to testify as defense witnesses. They're VERY good at expressing BELIEFS in sloppy journals, but its quite another thing to ask them to tell the truth in a real court. This Nature blog is a much safer place for them.

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:54 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Can NATURE explain the sudden decision to replace the [original REFUTING title and link](#) to **DENY**? Did the decision have anything to do with the fact that NATURE Washington Bureau Chief Barbara Culliton is a founding member of Robert Gallo's Institute of Human Virology [Board of Directors](#) ?

Before one can **DENY** the existence of something, PROOF of its existence must be verifiable and incontrovertible. The five Ws of epidemiology must establish WHAT, WHEN, WHERE, WHY and WHO proved that 1) HIV attacks cells and 2) HIV causes AIDS.

Because these critical question has never been answered, there is nothing for Duesberg to DENY. Duesberg's team simply REFUTES the unsupportable theological beliefs of bloggers who BELIEVE that HIV causes AIDS.

For the decision to be credible, NATURE must produce something more than the cross-linked shell game posted between government and social marketing websites and [truther blogs](#). Real scientists are [still looking for proof](#) .

We are waiting

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:54 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.
Mr. Daring:

As commendable as your defense of *hard working scientists* may seem, you forget what Galileo did to hundreds of hard-working astrologers who published their *honest research* in Rome and BELIEVED that the Earth was the center of the universe. In this historical context, you might appreciate why European scientists consider ridicule like yours as proof of their own legitimacy. Do real scientists really expect real scientists to agree with everyone else?

On the topic of **SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS**, [Michael Crichton wrote](#) :

(T)he work of science has nothing whatever to do with consensus. Consensus is the business of politics. Science, on the contrary, requires only one investigator who happens to be right, which means that he or she has results that are verifiable by reference to the real world. In science consensus is irrelevant . What is relevant is reproducible results. The greatest scientists in history are great precisely because they broke with the consensus... There is no such thing as consensus science. If its consensus, it isn't science. If it's science, it isn't consensus. Period.

Based upon my career as a criminal investigator; my careful examination of the evidence and it's application in the successful outcomes of [38 criminal HIV cases since 2009](#) , it appears that Peter Duesberg has more credibility than the HIV experts we now regularly impeach in criminal, civil and military hearings. Time will tell how many thousands of people have been wrongly imprisoned by the selfish incompetence of the hardworking consensus - not to mention the millions of injuries and deaths that will be attributed to the alchemy and witchcraft defended by your eroding consensus.

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:48 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Ed - The only difference between Prof. Bauer's "Loch Ness" and your HIV "Loch Ness" is marketing, non-profit foundations, pay checks and university grants.

If Loch Ness suddenly generated billions of dollars in grants, *hard working scientists* would crawl all over themselves to research the infamous Nessy - and those of us who laughed at the spectacle would surely be attacked as *Loch Ness denialists*. You're so predictable!

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:47 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Nice try *Jack*, er, Todd...

Despite your claim and [this hit-piece](#) by fellow truther Jeanne Bergman Ph.D, you forgot to mention that **my conviction was overturned** in 1994 after the judge and prosecutor were [found guilty of judicial and prosecutorial misconduct](#) . Readers can review the complete court opinion [here](#) and note that I [retired](#) seven years after my conviction was overturned. My personal experience of political retaliation is what prompted me to create OMSJ to protect honorable and credible men like Prof. Duesberg from paid propagandists.

Jack illustrates why *hard working scientists* rely upon the ad hominem attacks by pharmaceutically-funded gay activists to defend themselves from credible questions that billions of wasted *research* dollars cannot answer.

The fact that HIV co-discoverer and Nobel Laureate Luc Montagnier MD admits [in this short video](#) that **HIV can be easily cured without drugs within a few weeks of infection**, but that **pills and vaccines are pushed because the drug industry cannot make a profit selling clean water and good nutrition**, also explains the attacks. That Montagnier implicates [NIAID Director Anthony Fauci MD by name](#) may also explain [Fauci's support](#) of these obnoxious gay propagandists.

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:44 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Colin:

You're quoting **ESTIMATES**, nothing more. Despite what Dr. Curran wrote in 1985, [White House documents](#) prove that Curran's **ESTIMATE** came from the CDC's meeting in Coolfont VA in 1986, where Curran and others extrapolated that number from the Kinsey Report's 1948 **ESTIMATE**.

In 1999, [Armstrong reported](#) that infectious disease became statistically irrelevant in the US by 1955 and that HIV mortality [hardly made a dent](#) in US mortality numbers. That tiny number dropped off in 1996 - a year that coincided with the reduced doses of AZT.

In all of the criminal HIV cases reviewed since 2009, OMSJ found NO EVIDENCE that any of the clinicians involved in the testing, diagnosis and treatment of HIV ever competently diagnosed their patients before claiming that they were infected with HIV. Although ESTIMATES generate revenue, they do not have anything to do with the competent diagnosis of real patients.

BTW, Colin, is [Luc Montagnier](#) mainstream enough? How about [Joseph Sonnabend](#) ?

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:44 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Corbyn's story and Ruairidh MacDonald mention **Chigwedere**, [who admits](#) that he relied on UNAIDS' [unreliable estimates](#) to render his own.

Chigwedere estimates that between 270,000-320,000 South Africans died from HIV **EVERY YEAR** between 2000-2005 (see [Table 1](#)), numbers that are significantly contradicted by SA's recorded HIV mortality of appx 8000/year during 1997-2002 (see [Table 4.2](#)) and appx 14,000/year in 2006-2007 (see [Table 4.4](#)). The fact that clinicians [rarely report](#) that their patients died from clinical errors and complications and adverse drug reactions (ADRs) suggests that actual HIV-related mortality is a tiny fraction of that fraction.

Chigwedere's numbers are consistent with the CDC's [Coolfont Estimate](#), which **ESTIMATED** the number of HIV infected persons in the U.S. in 1986 at between 1-1.5 million infections. That number was extrapolated from the Kinsey Report, which **ESTIMATED** the number of homosexuals, IV drug users, hemophiliacs and heterosexuals who *allegedly* took part in homosexual acts in 1948. Despite the fact that the US population has swelled from 227 million to 312 million throughout the so-called **AIDS PANDEMIC**, the CDC's estimates [hasn't changed](#).

And while HIV allegedly claimed millions of African lives throughout the so-called global pandemic, Prof. Duesberg notes that Africa's population doubled from [400 million to 800 million](#) - an explosion that the United Nations fears will reach 1.7 billion people [by 2050](#). All of this suggests that if Africa's population explosion is a problem, HIV will certainly not be the cure.

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:42 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

Jack Knight:

I need not PROVE anything - especially to meth addicts or fading drag queens who are too embarrassed to use their [real names](#) . Anyone who wants to verify [OMSJ's record](#) can check directly with the courts. GOOGLE IT!

OMSJ does not post details about every case and confidentiality often precludes us from releasing protected attorney-client information. Some clients and attorneys don't want to be deluged with crank telephone calls or have their corporate offices infested by hoards of sticky meth-trannies. However, recent cases like [Corporal RL](#) , [Jason Young](#) and the ongoing appeal of [David Gutierrez](#) contain plenty of info and links that corroborate OMSJ's involvement.

As for your personal attacks against me, readers will have to decide for themselves who is and is not credible. Having just forced prosecutors to dismiss all charges in the [Tom Call Case](#) , I had some time to follow this story and comments. I'm surprised that you aren't listed as a [truther](#) yet. Based upon your background, training and expertise, you would fit in VERY well.

-Nature News editors

From: donotreply@nature.com [mailto:donotreply@nature.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:33 AM
To: OMSJ
Subject: [Nature News] Your post has been hidden

Dear Clark Baker,

The following post you wrote on the Nature News website has been hidden by the moderator in accordance with our terms and conditions.

I'm usually too busy [preparing cases](#) and questioning *HIV experts* like [Joan Duwve MD MPH](#) to waste time with blogs like this. My fascination with the **behavior** of those who defend the [corruption and incompetence](#) that permeates HIV and AIDS prompted the exception.

Real scientists know that **credible** science requires neither belligerence nor belligerents to defend it. Scientific **EVIDENCE** (not **ESTIMATES**) speaks for itself - a fact that HIV's unhinged clerics and mullahs have forgotten. When they personally attack those who ask difficult questions, they have no idea how they implicate themselves .

The [trolls](#) and [truthers](#) who make personal attacks are as transparent as chocolate-smearred five-year-olds who deny knowledge of the missing chocolate cake. I simply wanted to see how far they would go this time - and they didn't disappoint. If nothing else, they are consistent.

-Nature News editors